# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No.KR- 206/2008

Shri Murlidhar Trimbak Joshi, Sisodiya Prestige Apartment, Opp. Bazar Peth Police Chowki, Karjat Tal. Karjat, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

Civil Court, Karjat,

Tal : Karjat, Dist : Raigad..

The Appellate Authority, District Civil Court, Raigad-Alibag. District: Raigad.

#### Facts:-

Not having been successful in obtaining information from Public Information Officer or First Appellate Authority, the appellant by an appeal dated 29.5.2007 has asked information regarding copies of documents submitted by Respondent in Regular Civil Suit No. 23/2004.

### **Interim Decision**.

The appeal application has been perused. There is an indication that the First Appellate Authority considered this case and gave a decision on 13.4.2007.

Much time has passed since then and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 26<sup>th</sup> June, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 22.9.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1082/2008

Shri M.S. Shaikh, ..... Appellant.

A1-702, Dharti Complex, Evershine Nagar Hotel,

Near R.K. Avenue, Mira Road,

District: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

Junior Engineer, Encroachment, Mira-Bhayander Municipal Corporation,

District; Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Municiapl Commissioner, Mira-Bhayander Municipal Corporation,

District; Thane.

### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

## Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 15.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1083/2008

Shri M.S. Shaikh, ..... Appellant.

A1-702, Dharti Complex, Evershine Nagar Hotel,

Near R.K. Avenue, Mira Road,

District: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent Deputy Engineer, Encroachment,

Mira-Bhayander Municipal Corporation, District; Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Municiapl Commissioner, Mira-Bhayander Municipal Corporation,

District; Thane.

**Facts:** 

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

## Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 15.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1121/2008

Shri Giridhar Vishwanath Paranjpe, ..... Appellant.

D-275, Khaskilwada,

At, Post & Taluka : Sawantwadi, District : Sindhudurg : 416 510.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

Head Master,

Chaukul English School, Chaukul,

 $Taluka\ ; Sawantwadi,\ Dist\ ;\ Sindhudurg.$ 

The Appellate Authority,

Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg, Sindhudurg Nagari, Oras.

#### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 06<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 10.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर-1183 /2008

ÁÜ̈̀.2ѾÜ҈ॐनपा भगत, रा.कासणे,ता.भिवंडी,पो.व्हाया, कल्याण वѾÃÜमं,ॐॐॐॐÖ»ÆÖ-ठाणे.

... अपिलकर्ता,

×₩ÿ≠¤ü

• पै माहिती अधिकारी, उप विभागीय पोलीस अधिकारी, मुरबाड विभाग, जिल्हा-ठाणे.

... ÃOÖ (OBAO) (Č

. प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, †पर पोलीस अधिक्षक, ठाणे ग्रामीण,जिल्हा-ठाणे.

†**VÖ**×**uÖ**†Ö**¤**nÖ

प्रथम अपिलिय अधिकारी यांगि या प्रकरणात गिर्णय दिल्याचे दिसूा येते. तथापि, सदर गिर्णयाो अपिलकर्त्याचे समाधाÜ – ÜbÜसल्यामुळे त्यांगि कलम १९ (३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल दाखल केले आहे (प्रत सोबत संलग्णे).

अपिलकर्ता यांगि वस्तुस्थितीदर्शक माहितीची मागणी केलेली आहे.

मला असे वाटते की, प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांगि अपिलकर्ता यांचेशी पुहा एकदा चर्चा/विचार विगिमय करुंपै जास्तीत जास्त माहिती देण्यासंदर्भात प्रयत केल्यास व त्यामुळे अपिलकर्त्यांचे समाधांपै–ाले तर आयोगाकडे येण्याकरीतां सर्व पक्षकारांचा वेळ, प्रवास खर्च व त्यामुळे त्यााा होणारी तसदी टाळता येतील.

यास्तव, मी हे अपिल प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांचेकडे रिमांड करीत आहे. त्यांगी अपिलकर्ता याँ विकित्री विकाणीची एक संधी देऊा अपिलकर्ता यांगी उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व शक्यतो परिपूर्ण माहिती देण्याच्यादृष्टी कार्यवाही करावी व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एकापेक्षा अधिक जा माहिती अधिकाऱ्यांशी संबंधित असेल तर त्या सर्वाण में विकित्र वावणीस बोलवावे आणि त्यांतर या प्रकरणी समयायीक आदेश पारित करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा मिक्र प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी या संदर्भात यापुर्वी आदेश गिर्गमित केले असले तरी उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही करु पारीत करावेत, म्हणजे त्यांचा यापुर्वीचा गिर्णय आपोआप सुधारीत होईल.

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांी आयोगास सादर करावा.

तथापि, जर प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांची अशी ठाम धारणा असेल की, व्वितीय सुगावणी देऊा कांही साध्य होणार गाही. तर त्यांगी जोडलेल्या अपिल अर्जात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर मुद्दोहिाय सविस्तर अभिप्राय १५ दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भव0ं, ²0ò00 0;;;i 0;43i 0;100±0 ×गोंक ६ जा0;400 0;ii 2009. Åं∭ी-(∰ी कुमार) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

**अ**र्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां 🖁 🗷 🗓 🗷 २००१ ु 🗒 🗓 प्रर्णय दिला आहे.

 (प्रिंधी कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1122/2008

Shri Prakash Raghoba Sawant, ..... Appellant.

At & Post: Majgaon (Harsawantwada),

Taluka: Sawantwadi,

District: Sindhudurg: 416 510.

V/s.

#### **Public Information Officer.**

Office Superintendent, (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg, Dist; Sindhudurg.

## The Appellate Authority,

Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg, Sindhudurg Nagari, Oras.

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 06<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-366/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, ..... Appellant Vasai, Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Road, Taluka & Post-Vasai, Dist-Thane-401201.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Gramsevak, Saloli Grampanchayat, Girij, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer / Extension Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai, Taluka & Post-Vasai, Dist-Thane-401201.

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:-5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 19.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No.KR- 2261/2008

Shri Shahid Ahmad A. Salam Farid, ..... Appellant.

11/16, Farid House, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Gafoor Don Chowk,

Bunder Road, Kalyan (W),

Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

Treasury Awal Karkun,

Tahsil Office, Kalyan (W), Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane..

The Appellate Authority,

Resident Naib Tahsildar. Tahsil Office, Kalyan (W),

Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane..

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and The First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got all the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2222/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar,

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Dist: Thane.401 208.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Office of the Human Rights Commission, Maharashtra State, Administrative Staff College Compound, 9,Hazarimal Somani Marg, Opp. C.S.T.(VT),

Mumbai: 400 001.

## The Appellate Authority,

Office of the Human Rights Commission, Maharashtra State, Administrative Staff College Compound, 9,Hazarimal Somani Marg, Opp. C.S.T.(VT),

Mumbai: 400 001

## **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 16.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1430/2008

Shri Mohammed Yusuf Faruk Khan ..... Appellant. B/8. Mustafa Chawl. Achanak Nagar, Mumbra] Taluka & District: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent Assistant Commissioner, (Estate)

Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Commissioner (Encroachment), Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane.

**Facts:** 

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and The First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got all the information.

It is necessary to ascertain how for the decision of First Appellate Authority dated 30.7.2008 has been implemented for giving information to appellant. First Appellate Authority's recommendation about penalty for delay is necessary.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2008.

# Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 13.8.2008.

> (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-545/2008

Shri Suryakant Nana Waingankar, ..... Appellant

232, Janaki Niwas, Near Old Datta Mandir, Bhatimirya, Post : Tal : & Dist : Ratnagiri,

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

Spl. District Welfare Officer, Ratnagiri.Dist: Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Divisional Welfare Officer, Konkan Division, Konkan Bhavan, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, Navi Mumbai : 400 614.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 30.9.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-965/2008

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Talathi Saja, Chikankhar, Tal. Kalyan, Dist.-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Circle Officer, Tahsildar Officer, Kalyan, Tal. Kalyan, Dist.-Thane.

# **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The Public Information Officer has given the necessary information to the appellant on 8.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 854/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav Wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Thane: 401 208.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Under Secretary,

Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai : 400 032.

The Appellate Authority,

Secretary,

Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2194/2008

..... Respondent

Shri Raghuvanshi Jaikumar, ..... Appellant. B.K. No. 1348, Room No. 5, Near Bank of Maharashtra,

O.T. Section, Ulhasnagar: 421 004.

District: Thane...

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

Office of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Office of the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

# Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 31.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-858/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav Wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Thane: 401 208.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

State Election Commission, New Administrative Bldg.,

Opp. Mantralaya, Madama Cama Marg,

Mumbai: 400 032.

The Appellate Authority,
State Election Commission,
New Administrative Bldg.,
Opp. Mantralaya, Madama Cama Marg,
Manual State 100,022

Mumbai : 400 032.

**Facts:** 

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 21.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 855/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav Wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Thane: 401 208.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Under Secretary,

Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai : 400 032.

The Appellate Authority, Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

# Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 29.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2695/2008

Shri Laxman Tukaram Parab, New Belapur Road, Shivaji Nagar, House No.592, Parrab Wadi, Kalwa, Thane.

..... Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, City Engineer, City Development Department, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane ..... Respondent

The Appellate Authority, Assistant Director, Town Planning, Thane Municipal Corporation, Thane

# Facts: -

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and the First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-658/2008

Shri Pandharinath Ramchandra Vaingankar, ..... Appellant Shriram Chowk, Room No. 164, Konkan Nagar, Majgaon Road, Ratnagiri :

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,** ..... Respondent City Survey Officer,, Ratnagiri,

District: Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Superintendent, Land Records, Ratnagiri, Dist: Ratnagiri.

### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 3.11.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-659/2008

..... Respondent

Shri Akil Mulla,	 Appellant

At & Post: Neral Khanda,

Taluka: Karjat, District: Raigad.

V/s.

## **Public Information Officer,**

Gram Sevak, Neral Khanda, At & Post: Neral Khanda,

Taluka: Karjat, District: Raigad.

# The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Karjat, Tal: Karjat, Dist: Raigad.

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 19.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-642/2008

..... Respondent

Shri J.D. Suryarao, ..... Appellant

323. Gokulnagar, Bhiwandi, Tal: Bhiwandi, Dist: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,** 

Education Officer,

Bhiwandi- Najampur Municipal Corporation, Education Circle, Bhiwandi, Tal: Bhaiwandi,

District: Thane.

# The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Municipal Corporation, Bhiwandi- Najampur Municipal Corporation, Education Circle, Bhiwandi, Tal:Bhaiwandi,

District: Thane.

# Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision. A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

# Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 23.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-469/2008

Shri Shirishkumar Vithal Kuvalekar, ..... Appellant Flat No.201, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,
Hill View Apartments, BehindSachinam Tower,
Majiwade, Thane (West)-400 601.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Registrar,
Family Court Mumbai,
Bandra-Kurla Complex,
Bandra (E), Mumbai-400 051.

The Appellate Authority, Family Court Mumbai, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (E), Mumbai-400 051.

## Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2531/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Hira Krishna Patil, At. Post-Kusur, Tal. Vaibhavwadi, Dist-Sindhudurg.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Jal Swarajya Project, Umbarde, Tal. Vaibhav Wadi, Dist-Sindhudurg.

The Appellate Authority, Engineering Technology, (D.F.T.), Jal Swarajya Project, Zilla Parishad Sindhudurg, Dist-Sindhudurg.

## **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and the First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 7.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2026/2008

Smt. Aparna Jitendra Patil, ..... Appellant.

At : Odhangi, Post : Washi, Taluka : Pen, District : Raigad.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

Office of the Executive Engineer, Khar Land Survey Department, Pen, Taluka: Pen, District: Raigad.

## The Appellate Authority,

Superintending Engineer & Project Director, Khar Land Development Circle, Pen, Taluka: Pen, District: Raigad.

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 27.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-778/2008

Shri Ashok Balu Gawale, ..... Appellant At. Wadwali, Tal Wada, Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gramsevak, Grampanchayat, Wadwali, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Wada, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane.

### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

## **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 31.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-815/2008

**Appellant** 

Respondent.

Shri Kaustubh Dattatraya Gokhale, Siddhivinayak Prasad, Dr. Shama Prasad Mukherji Road, Dombivali (East),-421 201, Tal. Kalyan, Dist. Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

Office of the Collector, Thane(W), Court Naka, District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Resident Deputy Collector, Collector Office Thane(W), Court Naka,

District: Thane.

### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No. KR- 61/2008

Shri Kaustubha D. Gokhale, ..... Appellant

Siddhivinayak Prasad,

Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Road,

Dombivali (E), 421 201.

Tal. Kalyan, Dist. Thane

V/s

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Law Department,

Kalyan Dombivali Municipal Corporation,

Kalyan, Dist. Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Deputy Commissioner (General Admn.) Kalyan Dombivali Municipal Corporation, Kalyan, Dist. Thane.

#### Facts:-

The appellant by an application dated 16.4.2007 asked for information regarding details of action taken according to the court order dated 2.8.2006 in Public L. W. P. 10/2004.

# **Interim Decision**

The appeal application has been perused. There is an indication that the First Appellate Authority considered this case and gave a decision on 23.2.2007.

Much time has passed since then and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2008.

## **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No. KR-99/2008

Shri Imptiyaj Ismail Shaikh, 4, Shanti Sadan, Raj Cinema (Near) Tembhade Road, Palghar, Tal. Palghar, Dist. Thane.

V/s

Public Information Officer, Chitnis, Collector Officer, Thane. .... Respondent

..... Appellant

The Appellate Authority, Residence District Collector, Collector Officer, Thane,

### Facts:-

The appellant by an application dated 7.4.2007 asked information regarding details of illegal employee in Tahsil, Talathi & Circle Officers office in Thane Districts.

#### **Interim Decision**.

The appeal application has been perused. There is an indication that the First Appellate Authority considered this case and gave a decision on 10.1.2007.

Much time has passed since then and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2647/2008

Shri Hemant Jyotiram Mane, 37, Yashoda Niwas, Shatrinagar, Navghar(W),

Tal:Vasai, Dist:Thane: 401 202.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

..... Appellant.

Office of the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Old Secretariate Bldg., 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Fort, Mumbai:400 032.

The Appellate Authority,

Office of the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Old Secretariate Bldg., 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Fort, Mumbai:400 032.

## Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 29<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 23.9.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-295/2008

Shri Shaukat Shaikh Lal Shaikh, ..... Appellant

.Maple Chawl, Opp. Hawa Manzil, Mahagiri, Thane(W), Dist: Thane.

V/s

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Office of the. Taluka Agriculture Officer,

Tal. Vashi, Dist. Osmanabad,

The Appellate Authority, Taluka Agriculture Officer, Tal. Vashi, Dist. Osmanabad,

#### Facts:

Not having been successful in obtaining information from Public Information Officer or First Appellate Authority, the appellant by an appeal dated 28.5.2007 has asked information regarding details of cheques distributed by Osmanabad District Central Co.op. Bank Ltd., Terkheda in May 2005.

#### Interim Decision.

The appeal application has been perused. The papers attached do not show that this matter has been examined and considered at the level of the First Appellate Authority.

I remand this appeal to the First Appellate Authority for giving a hearing to the appellant and for giving a reasoned decision with a view to facilitate flow of maximum information to the appellant.

A compliance report should be submitted, within 45 days by the First Appellant Authority, to me.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay and penal action.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 20.10.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

**Appeal No.KR- 328/2008** 

Shri Ravi Pandurang Gaikwad, ..... Appellant

C/o. Shivaji Mane,

Shantaram Patil Nagar, Mohane, Tal Kalyan,

Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Yashodeep Vidyalaya,

Mohane, Tal Kalyan, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Head Master, Yashodeep Vidyalaya, Mohane, Tal Kalyan, Dist-Thane.

## **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

#### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2008.

# Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 26.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2063/2008

Shri Sahadev Mahadev Chorghe, ..... Appellant

Shri Vijay Anant Joshi,

At & Post: Gorhe, Taluka: Wada,

Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Wada,

Taluka: Wada, District: Thane.

.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Chief Executive Officer,

Zilla Parishad, Thane, District: Thane.

## **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-777/2008

..... Respondent

Shri Shankar Vithoba Acharekar, ..... Appellant 4/103, Vitthal Krupa Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Near Vitthal Mandir, Virar (West), Dist-Thane 401 303.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Tahsildar Office Vasai, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Resident District Collector, Thane, Dist-Thane.

## **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-289/2008

Shri Prakash Laxman Jadhav, ..... Appellant

At. Post. Sasane, Tal. Murbad,

Dist-Thane

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Office of the Taluka Agricultural Officer, Murbad,

Tal. Murbad, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority,

Taluka Agricultural Officer, Murbad, Tal. Murbad, Dist-Thane

#### Facts:

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 2<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 6.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No. KR- 43/2008

Shri Gajanan Parshuram Mhatre Ghatal Nagar, Adivasi Vasahat Umel, Tal- Vasai, Dist. Thane.

V/s

Public Information Officer, Gram Sevak,

Umela Grampanchayat,

Tal. Vasai, Dist. Thane

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Vasai Panchayat Samiti, Tal. Vasai, Dist. Thane.

## **Facts:**

The appellant by an application dated 4.4.2007 asked for information regarding encroachments on Government lands.

# **Interim Decision**

The appeal application has been perused. The papers attached do not show that this matter has been examined and considered at the level of the First Appellate Authority.

I remand this appeal to the First Appellate Authority for giving a hearing to the appellant and for giving a reasoned decision with a view to facilitate flow of maximum information to the appellant.

A compliance report should be submitted, within 45 days by the First Appellant Authority, to me.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay and penal action.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 281/2008

Smt. Vimal Tukaram Naik, ..... Appellant Salaiwada, Main Road,

Sawantwadi, Dist. Sindhudurg.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Office Superintendent, (R.P). Zilla Parishad, Dist. Sindhudurg.

The Appellate Authority, Education Officer, (Secondary). Zilla Parishad, Dist. Sindhudurg.

# **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 2<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

# Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 6.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-397/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Kiran Yashwant Bhoir, At. Panaju, Post-Papadi, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane Naygaon (West)-401 207.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Panaju, Post-Papadi, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai. Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

# **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

## **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 396/2008

Shri Kiran Yashwant Bhoir, At. Panaju, Post-Papadi, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane Naygaon (West)-401 207.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Panaju, Post-Papadi, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai. Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-415/2008

Shri Khalil Ahmed.Hassanmiya Vasta, ..... Appellant 132, Rajiwada,

Ratnagiri-415 612.

V/s.

Public Information Officer & Special Executive Officer, ..... Respondent Audit Board, (A.D.F.) Maharashtra State, Administrative Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Abdul Gafarkhan Road, Worli, Sea Face, Mumbai.

The Appellate Authority, Office of the Commissioner, (Fisheries) Taraporwala Matsyalaya, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Charni Road, Mumbai.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 21.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-418/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Kiran Yashvant Bhoir, At-Panju, Post-Papadi, Naigaon(West), Tal-Vasai,Dist-Thane-401 207.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Village Development Officer, Grampanchayat Panju, Naigaon(West), Tal-Vasai,Dist-Thane-401 207.

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai, Tal-Vasai, Dist-Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-435/2008

Shri Gajanan Parshuram Mhatre, ..... Appellant Umela Tribal Recidence, Ghatalnagar, Mithagar road, Post-Papadi, Taluka-Vasai, District-Thane-401 207.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Gramsevak,
Grampanchayat Umela,
At & Post-Umela,
Taluka-Vasai, District-Thane-401 207.

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai, Taluka-Vasai, District-Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-571/2008

Shri Nandkumar Narayan Mahajan, ..... Appellant At. Umele, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 202.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Umele, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 202 ..... Respondent

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Vasai, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 202

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-813/2008

Shri Prakash Namdev Khatu, ..... Appellant

House No. 77, Prakash Optitions, Navadi, (Sangmeshwar), Tal: Sangmeshwar, District: Ratnagiri.: 415 611.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

Gram Sevak,

Gram Panchayat, Navadi (Sangmeshwar), Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist:Ratnagiri.

### The Appellate Authority,

Extension Officer,

Panchayat Samiti, Sangmeshwar, (Devrukh) Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist:Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-822/2008

Shri Rajendra Dadasaheb More, ..... Appellant

At & Post; Uchat, Tal: Wada,

District: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

Chairman. Rural Water Supply &

Sanitation Samiti,

At & Post; Uchat, Tal: Wada,

District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Extension Officer,

Panchayat Samiti, Wada, Tal: Wada, Dist: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 31.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-660/2008

Shri Putlaji Dhondu Kadam, ..... Appellant

At & Post: Waliv, Aadsingpada, Vasai (E), Tal: Vasai, Dist: Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

..... Respondent

Block Devleopment Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Devleopment Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिगियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर- 1161 /2008

श्री .मधुकर तुकाराम पाटील्प्रै १०२/ केदालिंग को-ऑप.हौर्सींग सोसायटी, माहोपाडा, ता.खालापुर, जिल्हा-रायगड-410 222. ... अपिलकर्ता

×XÔİÝ-¤Ü

• पै माहिती अधिकारी, उप कार्यकारी अभियंता, (भैंभै) ष्ट्र राज्य विद्युत वितरण कंपिं औ Āंपिंकि व सुव्यवस्थापि पैवेल (ग्रामीण), ॐभैंगार, भिंगारी, पिंकिंके 410 206. अप्रैस्यगड. ... ÃOO (OROD) (Ĉ

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, कार्यकारी अभियंता, महाराष्ट्र राज्य विद्युत वितरण कंपिं औ ĀØÜDÖ व सुव्यवस्थापं Öवेल (ग्रामीण), ॐ Öगार, भिंगारी, पंर्में पे 410 206. औं

<u>†VÖ</u>, ŭÖ†Ö¤jöÖ

प्रथम अपिलिय अधिकारी यां। या प्रकरणात गिर्णय दिल्याचे दिसू येतê १०००, Ã७ग्रेणंयो अपिलकर्त्याचे समाधा — 📆 एति सल्यामुळे त्यां। कलम १९ (३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल दाखल केले आहे (प्रत सोबत संलग्).

अपिलकर्ता यांगे वस्तुस्थितीदर्शक माहितीची मागणी केल्रे 🛱 🛱 🗓

मला असे वाटते की, प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांगि अपिलकर्ता यांचेशी पुहा एकदा चर्चा/विचार विगिमय करु**ँ। विगिणि विग्निणि विग्नि** 

यास्तव, मी हे अपिल प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांचेकडे रिमांड करीत आहे. त्यांगी अपिलकर्ता याण विश्व बिवाणीची एक संधी देउ अपिलकर्ता यांगी उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व शक्यतो परिपुर्ण माहिती देण्याच्यादृष्टीं। कार्यवाही करावी व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एका पेक्षा अधिक जा महिती अधिकाऱ्यांशी संबंधित असेल तर सवाँ मिक्की विवाणीस बोलवावे आणि त्यांतर या प्रकरणी समयायीक आदेश पारित करावेत, जेणे करुं। अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा कि विश्व प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी या संदर्भात यापुर्वी आदेश गिर्गमत केले असले तरी उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही करुं। ज्यां आदेश पारीत करावेत, म्हणजे त्यांचा यापुर्वीचा गिर्णय आपोआप सुधारीत होईल. उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी आयोगास सादर करावा.

तथापि, जर प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांची अशी ठाम धारणा असेल की, व्वितीय सुाावणी देऊा कांही साध्य होणार ााही. तर त्यां**र्षै** जोडलेल्या अपिल अर्जात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर मुद्दीाहाय सविस्तर अभिप्राय १५ दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भवं। थें**७**Ѿंपूं्ंं **ऐंंअं ॅंअं**प्ंंंेंंें ऋंंोंक: २९ डिसेंबर, २००८. ĀЙी-(Йी कुमार) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

**अ**ष्कर्ष

¯ÜÜÖ †×ालीय प्राधिकारी यांÜ ×ï25.2.2009 ¸ÜÜ अर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवं(), 2()\$(()) ()ुंभ्) ()%() () (0)()() अयं()के १२ मार्च, २००९. ( 🕅 कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-476/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Road, Post & Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 201.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Secondary Registrar, Tahasil Office Building, Vasai-1, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Additional District Registrar, Class-I, Thane (Rural) Collector Office Building, Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1924/2008

Dr. Kamlakar R. Bagal, Sai Prasad Society, Singh Estate, Road No. 2, Opp. Basra Studio, Kandivali (E), Mumbai; 400 101.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer**,

Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Thane Taluka, Vardhavat Mansion, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Shivaji Path, Thane (W), Dist: Thane: 400 601.

# The Appellate Authority,

District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Vardhavat Mansion, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Shivaji Path, Thane (W), Dist: Thane: 400 601.

#### Facts:

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant.

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 17.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिगियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर-1951/2008

श्री गोपीѾЁंगारायण राऊत,

... अपिलक∜∭

**⊁**ंं∭ं पूजा को. ऑ. हौसिंग सोसायटी,

लोकमाय ागर, कचेरी रोड, रेल्वे पॉवर हाऊस जवळ,

पालघर, तालुका पालघर, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

×₩́µ¤ü

• 🗓 माहिती अधिकारी,

... ÃÖÖ′Ö**E** 

†Й́£Ю̈ं0ा विभाग,

<sup>-</sup>Ѿ҅»Ü'Ö¸Ѿगर परिषद्, पालघर,

तालुका पालघर, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, मुख्याधिकारी,

- 🗓 🖔 🗓 🗓 गार परिषद, पालघर,

तालुका पालघर, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

ÜMÜÜ'Ü †श्वकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(१) अवये अपिलकर्ता यांगि दाखल केलेल्या प्रथम अपिलावर, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां 🗓 ऋर्णय दिल्याचे सकृतदर्श 🖟 🗘 चेल छैते थेत 🕮 🖟

यास्तव, सदर प्रकरणी मी असे िार्देश देतो की, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगि अपिलकर्ता याँगि Åिष्णंवणीची एक संधी देऊा अपिलकर्ता यांगि व्वितीय अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व अपिलकर्ता यांगि क्रिक्षणि उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर परिपुर्ण माहिती, अधिायमातील तरतुदीगुसार उपलब्ध करुत देण्याच्यादृष्टी प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगि या प्रकरणी सकारण आदेश पारीत करावेत, जेणे करुत अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा होईल (प्रत सोबत संलग्णे).

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां आयोगास सादर करावा.

सोबत : वरील प्रमाणे.

कोंकण भव0,

×्रणींक : १८ डिसेंबर, २००८.

ÃÖÆÜ-

( 🕅 कुमार )

राज्य माहिती आयुक्त,

कोंकण खंडपीठ

अष्कर्ष

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगे दि.५.२.२००९ च्या पत्रावये माहिती उपलब्ध करु**ँ। 🗥 🖽** 

कोंकण भवÖ, ²Ü७ÖÖÖçü Ö%B ′ÖÖ܆Ö अयोंक १२ मार्च, २००९. ( 🕅 कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीं

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्हितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर-32 /2009

श्री .ओमप्रकाश एच मल्लाह, गुजरात चाळ, रु.ां.७,केदारलिंग स्टोस्**र्णे** व्रेष्णे †**ं** णैंभागर, ठाणे- 400 607.

अपिलकर्ता

×**XÖ**İÝ-¤Ü

• पै माहिती अधिकारी, शिक्षणाधिकारी, शिक्षणमंडळ ठाणे महागर पालिका, जिल्हा-ठाणे-7.

... ÃOO (OBAO) (Ĉ

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, उपायुक्त (मुख्यालय), ठाणे महाागरपालिका, जिल्हा -ठाणे.

# †ŸÖҳŭÖ†Ö¤įÖ

प्रथम अपिलिय अधिकारी यांगि या प्रकरणात गिर्णय दिल्याचे दिसू येते. तथापि, सदर गिर्णयाो अपिलकत्या**र्णै**सैंगि 🗓 🗒 –ѾѾ-। सल्यामुळे त्यांगि कलम १९ (३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल दाखल केले आहे (प्रत सोबत संलग्णे).

अपिलकर्ता यांगि वस्तुस्थितीदर्शक माहितीची मागणी केलेली आहे.

मला असे वाटते की, प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांगि अपिलकर्ता यांचेशी पुहा एकदा चर्चा/विचार विगिय करुँ। • ००००० माहिती देण्यासंदर्भात प्रयत केल्यास व त्यामुळे अपिलकर्त्यांचे समाधाँ। नाले तर आयोगाकडे येण्याकरीतां सर्व पक्षकारांचा वेळ, प्रवास खर्च व त्यामुळे त्याग होणारी तसदी टाळता येतील.

यास्तव, मी हे अपिल प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांचेकडे रिमांड करीत आहे. त्यांगी अपिलकर्ता याँ प्रैंष्ठ बैंकिवणीची एक संधी म्ह्र्ण अपिलकर्ता यांगी उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्धांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व शक्यतो परिपूर्ण माहिती देण्याच्यादृष्टीों कार्यवाही करावी व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एका पेक्षा अधिक जाँ प्रेंसिंकि † श्लाकान्यांशी संबंधित असेल तर सर्वां किवाणीस बोलवावे आणि त्या कि या प्रकरणी समयायीक आदेश पारित करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा होईल. प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी या स्मितिकी यापुर्वी आदेश गिर्गित केले असले तरी उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही कराँ। व्यां आदेश पारीत करावेत, म्हणजे त्यांचा यापुर्वीचा गिर्णय आपोआप सुधारीत होईल. उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांकि आयोगास सादर करावा.

तथापि, जर प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांची अशी ठाम धारणा असेल की, व्वितीय सुग्रवणी देऊा कांही साध्य होणार 🕅 🗓 त्यांगी जोडलेल्या अपिल अर्जात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर मुद्दीहाय सविस्तर अभिप्राय १५ दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भवÖ, ²Ó̀\$Ö́Ö́Ö́pii Ö́AB ́Ó́ÓÓt́Ó

×णांक: १४ जा**ंअ**ं राष्ट्र है, 2009.

ĀЙĀं-(ऍक्के कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

अष्कर्ष

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी याँ 🗷 🗷 27.2.2009 🗓 🗓 प्रर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भव0ं, ²0ं) (0ं पुंग्नं 0ं/0ं) (वं/0ं) अयोंक १२ मार्च, २००९.

( 🕅 कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2685/2008

Shri Manohar Kishorilal Gupta ..... Appellant Kishori Smriti,
At. Post-Kaman, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Sir Karkun, Office of the Collector of Thane, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Resident Deputy Collector, Office of the Collector of Thane, Dist-Thane

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2293/2008

Shri Mohanraj Bhikusheth Gandhi, ..... Appellant.

860, Shivaji Nagar, Taluka & Dist: Ratnagiri.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Director, Health Services, Health Bhavan, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, St. Jorjes Hospital Compound, P.D. D'mello Road, Mumbai: 400 001.

# The Appellate Authority,

Director, Health Services, Health Bhavan, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, St. Jorjes Hospital Compound, P.D. D'mello Road, Mumbai: 400 001.

### Facts:

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s  $\overline{19}$  (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 01<sup>st</sup> October, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 28.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-786/2008

Shri Pandurang Sadashiv Bapat, 421 Bapat Ali, Shivaji Chowk, Karad Road, Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri. ..... Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Education Extention Officer, Panchayat Samiti Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri. ..... Respondent

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

# **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 12.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-787/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Pandurang Sadashiv Bapat, 421 Bapat Ali, Shivaji Chowk, Karad Road, Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Head Master, Jeevan Shikshan Vidya Mandir, School No.1, Chichnaka, Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority, Education Extention Officer, Panchayat Samiti Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 12.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2265/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, ... Appellant.

Vasai Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Rd.,

Post & Taluka : Vasai, Dist : Thane : 401 201.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

..... Respondent

Gram Sevak, Valiv, Gram Panchayat, Valiv, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Raigad.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Taluka : Vasai, District : Tho

Taluka: Vasai, District; Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2181/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Ranjeet Madhukar Patil, Sainath Nagar, Murbad Road, Near JSVV Company Colony No.3, At. Post Washind, Tal. Shahapur, Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gramsevak, Gram Panchayat Biloshi, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Village Extension Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Wada, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2161/2008

Shri Rajendra Dadasaheb More,	 Appellan
At. Post Uchat, Tal. Wada,	

Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Gramsevak, Gram Panchayat,

The Appellate Authority, Extension Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Wada, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane

Uchat, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 31.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2155/2008

Shri Katthu Sambhu Patil, .... Appellant.

A-10/704, Rutuanklave, Anand Nagar,

Kasarvadvali, Ghod Bunder Road, Thane (W),

District Thane. . .

V/s

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Head Master,

English High School & Jr. College, Majivade,

Taluka & District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Secretary,

Rural Education Institute, Majivade,

Taluka & District: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 17.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1925/2008

Shri Virendra Khanna, ..... Appellant.

B-13/4 Maitri Park Co.op. Hsg., Society Ltd.,

Chembur, Mumbai: 400 071.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, M- Ward, 201, Konkan Bhavan, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai :400 614.

## The Appellate Authority,

District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies (2), Eastern Suburbs, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, Konkan Bhavan, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai :400 614.

### Facts:

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and The First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got all the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 30.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1935/2008

Shri Arvind Pandurang Patil &

Mrs. Meena A. Patil. ..... Appellant.

IA-1, GKW Management Staff Quarters,

Bhandup, Mumbai: 400 078.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Gram Sevak,

Pezari Gram Panchayat, Post : Poynad, Taluka : Alibag, District : Raigad.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Alibag,

Taluka: Alibag, District: Raigad.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 28.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-693/2008

Shri Laxman Ravaji Sawant, ..... Appellant E-66, Khaskilwada, Sawantwadi, Taluka-Sawantwadi, District-Sindhudurg.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Commissioner and Registrar, Co-Operarive Societies, Maharashtra State, Central Building, Pune.

The Appellate Authority, Secretary, Co-Opration and Textile Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 19.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1861/2008

..... Respondent

Shri Vamanrao Dhondu Sawant, ..... Appellant A/13, Rajbhavan Grihanirman Sanstha Ltd.
N. S. Phadke Marg,
Andheri (East), Mumbai-400 069.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gramsevak, Gram Panchayat Pilvali C/o. Savrda, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority, Extention Officer, (Grampanchayat) Panchayat Samiti, Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2042/2008

Shri Bapu Shankar Chavan, Shivkrupa-19, Vishnu Nagar, Naupada, Thane-(West)-400 602.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Deputy Secretary, Revenue Department, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.

The Appellate Authority, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 20.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्हितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर- 1968/2008

ÁÜB ³Ö, NÖ ÃŒÖÖB»ÖÖ»Ö;ÖÆÖ,

... अपिलकर्ता

आग्रा रोड, लाल चौकी, कल्याण, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

×₩ÿ⊬¤ü

• 🗓 माहिती अधिकारी,

... ÃOO (O**PAO**) (Ce

ागर रचााकार,

कल्याण->🖟🕅 🕅 🖑 पैंगर पालिका, कल्याण,

शिवाजी चौक, कल्याण, तालुका : कल्याण, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी,

सहाययक संचालक, ागर रच

कल्याण-> 🖟 🕅 🕷 🕅 गर पालिका, कल्याण,

शिवाजी चौक, कल्याण, तालुका : कल्याण, जिल्हा : ठाणे.

<u>†VÖÇÜÖ†Ö¤ğÖ</u>

अपिलकर्ता यांगि वस्तस्थितीदर्शक माहितीची मागणी केलेली आहे.

यास्तव, मी हे अपिल प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांचेकडे रिमांड करीत आहे. त्यांगि अपिलकर्ता याँ मैं बैंकिवणीची एक संधी मिं अपिलकर्ता यांगी उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यांवा व शक्यतो परिपूर्ण माहिती देण्याच्यादृष्टीों कार्यवाही करावी व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एका पेक्षा अधिक जा महिती अधिकाऱ्यांशी संबंधित असेल तर सर्वांण बैंकिवणीस बोलवावे आणि त्याण्णें ॥ या प्रकरणी समयायीक आदेश पारित करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधाा होईल. प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी या संदर्भात यापुर्वी आदेश गिंगिमत केले असले तरी उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही कर्रणें व्याो आदेश पारीत करावेत, म्हणजे त्यांचा यापुर्वीचा गिंपय आपोआप सुधारीत होईल. उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांण आयोगास सादर करावा.

तथापि, जर प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांची अशी ठाम धारणा असेल की, व्दितीय सुावणी देऊा कांही साध्य होणार 🕅 🗓 त्यांगी जोडलेल्या अपिल अर्जात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर मुद्दीहाय सविस्तर अभिप्राय १५ दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भव0,

 2000 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0</

AMil-( **Üü**l कुमार ) ,**ग्रं**ज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

अष्कर्ष

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां 🖁 🗷 🗓 3.3.2009 🖟 🗓 प्रर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवÖ, 2ÖòÖÖQqiÖÅB ´ÖÖÇÖ ×णॉंक १२ मार्च, २००९. ( 🛱 कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-807/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, Vasai Bhandari Ali,Koliwada Road, Post & Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 201.

..... Appellant

V/s.

# **Public Information Officer.**

Medical Officer, Public Health Centre, Navghar, Vasai Road, Taluka: Vasai, District: Thane.

### The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Taluka: Vasai, District: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 28.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 891/2008

..... Respondent

Shri M.H. Patel, ..... Appellant

2201, Kingston Palace, Kongston, Complex, Chilncholi Bunder Road, Malad (W), Mumbai : 400 064.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter, Office of the Police Commissioner, Thane City, Thane.

# The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter, Office of the Police Commissioner, Thane City, Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 6.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-572/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant B-5 Jivdani Kripa Chawl, Ramchandra,

Jadhavwadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Dist-Thane-401 208.

V/s

Public Information Officer, Directorate of Employment & Self Employment, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, (Extention), Konkan Bhavan, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai-400 614.

The Appellate Authority, Directorate of Employment & Self Employment, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, (Extention), Konkan Bhavan, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai-400 614.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.9.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2710/2008

Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri John Francis Colaso, Sneh Jyoti, Behind Marry Sadan, Chulana Road, Opp. Snehal Apartment, Divanman Goan, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Khajina Aval Karkun, Tahsildar Office Vasai, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Resident Naib Tahsildar, Tahsildar Office Vasai, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and the First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

## **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2351/2008

Smt. Prita Prafulla Gharat, ..... Appellant.

At; Salvad (Gharatwadi),

Taluka: Palghar, District: Thane.401 504.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Dy. Executive Engineer, Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd Takka Vasahat, Tal: Panvel, Dist: Raigad.

# The Appellate Authority,

Executive Engineer,

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd., Takka Vasahat, Taluka: Panvel, District: Raigad.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 17.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिगियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्हितीय अपिल. अपिल क्रमांक: केआर-1845/2008

... ÃÖÖ′Ö**Ö&Ö**»ÖÈ

श्री खलील हसेÖ<sup>2</sup>ÖÆğü

जिल्हा अध्यक्ष, आखिल भारतीय उर्दु शिक्षक संघटѾ, शाखा कार्यालय, हरकोल, तालुका माणगांव,

जिल्हा : रायगडः ... अपिलकर्ता

×₩ý¤ü

• पै माहिती अधिकारी, गट शिक्षणाधिकारी, पंचायत समिती, माणगांव,

तालुका : माणगांव, जिल्हा रायगङ

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, गट शिक्षणाधिकारी, पंचायत समिती, माणगांव, तालुका: माणगांव, जिल्हा रायगङ

# †ŰÖ¸űÖ†Ö¤äÖ

माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(१) अवये अपिलकर्ता यां। दाखल केलेल्या प्रथम अपिलावर, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी या**ं**ष्ठिंगर्णय दिल्याचे सकृतदर्शं **(४ † Ö)क्रो** येत **(Ö)क्री** 

यास्तव, सदर प्रकरणों मी असे िंम्ं 🗓 म्हां की, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगि अपिलकर्ता यां 🗓 Ā 🗓 वणीची एक संधी देऊा अपिलकर्ता यांगि व्वितीय अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यांवा व अपिलकर्ता यांगी अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यांवा व अपिलकर्ता यांगी अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचर पिरपुर्ण माहिती, † में अपिलात तरतुदी। सार उपलब्ध करा देण्याच्यादृष्टी। प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगी या प्रकरणी सकारण आदेश पारीत करावेत, जेणे कर्रण अपिलकर्ता यांचे सम्प्रीमा होईल (प्रत सोबत संलग्ण).

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां। आयोगास सादर करावा

कोंकण भव**ं**, 2**0**00 (क्रां (क्रिंड (क्रिंड): 400 614.

श्र्मांक: ०६ डिसेंबर, २००८.

भिक्की (प्रिक्की कुमार) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

त्रर्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांٌ 🚧 ५.१.२००८ च्या पत्रावये अपिलकर्ता यांग आवश्यक माहिती पुरविली आहे.

कोंकण भव0, 20,000 क्यां 0,48 000 क्यां अर्थोंक २६ फेब्रुवारी, २००९. ( (७००) कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्षी) कोंकण खंडपीठ

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1466/2008

Shri Vegan Inc., ..... Appellant.

C/o Sanjiv Poonalekar,

315, Birya House, 265, Peri Nariman Street,

Fort, Mumbai: 400 001.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

City Development Officer, Bhiwandi Nijampur City Corporation, Taluka; Bhiwandi, Dist: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Municipal Commissioner (H.Q), Bhiwandi Nijampur City Corporation, Taluka; Bhiwandi, Dist: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 02<sup>nd</sup> September, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 30.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

# Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 854/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav Wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Thane: 401 208.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Under Secretary,

Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

The Appellate Authority, Secretary, Law & Judiciary Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

# **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 22/2009

Shri Shivanand Ankolekar,

..... Appellant.

A-8, M.I.D.C. Flatted Factory, L.B.S. Marg., Wagle Estate,

Thane (W), District: Thane: 400 604.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Area Manager, MIDC, Thane Region, M.I.D.C. Office Complex Bldg., 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Wagle Estate, Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Regional Officer, MIDC, Thane Region, M.I.D.C. Office Complex Bldg., 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Wagle Estate, Thane.

**<u>Facts</u>**: A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**.

It is seen that the First Appellate Authority has given a decision in this case and the appellant, not being satisfied with the same, has again come before me under Section 19 (3) for a second appeal.

The nature of information sought is of a factual nature

I would prefer another dialogue between the First Appellate Authority and the appellant as that would open up the possibility of giving better satisfaction to the appellant much faster without the need for both parties to spend valuable time, energy and travel expenses in coming to Commission's office.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the R.T.I. Act, 2005 in case the information asked for is related to more than one Public Information Officer, then all the concerned Information Officer should be invited for the hearing and thereafter issue a fresh reasoned order with a view to give better satisfaction to the appellant.

In case, however the First Appellate Authority be of the strong view that a second hearing would serve no purpose then he should send his detailed justification for such a view and comments on the points raised by the appellant in his appeal application. (copy attached) within 15 days.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 09<sup>th</sup> January, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

# राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिगियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्यितीय अपिल. अपिल कमांक: केआर- 1171/2008

ÁÑ.×Õ•ÖÖ बजरंगी यादव, अशोक ागर, पाईप लाईÖ, ÃܱÕÜÖ, साकीााका, मुंबई- 400 072.

... अपिलकर्ता

×₩ýr¤ü

• 🗓 माहिती अधिकारी,

... AOO (**02/0**0) Cê

े प्रबंधक सहकारी संस्था एल विभाग मुंबई यांचे कार्यालय, कोकण भवा, ३ रा मजला, कक्ष 0311,

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, Îप्रबंधक सहकारी संस्था(२) पूर्व उपागरे, २ रा मजला, कोकण भव0, (%) (00) 400 614.

## <u> †ŸŐ×,ŭÖ †Ö¤äÖ</u>

माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(१) अवये अपिलकर्ता यांी दाखल केलेल्या प्रथम अपिलावर, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां**ष्ठै** ४णंय दिल्याचे सकृतद्शं**ष्ठैं † Öष्णिके** येत **Ö**ष्टि

यास्तव, सदर प्रकरणी मी असे विदेश देतों की, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी येळें अपिलकर्ता यां बिंवणीची एक संधी देऊ। अपिलकर्ता यां विद्वतीय अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एका पेक्षा अधिक जा माहिती अधिका-यांशी संबंधित असेल तर त्या सर्वां बिंवणीस बोलवावे आणि त्या बिंवणीस अपिलकर्ता यांवी अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर परिपूर्ण माहिती, अधिवियमातील तरतुदीपुसार उपलब्ध करा देण्याच्यादृष्टी प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांवी या प्रकरणी सकारण आदेश पारीत करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा मिंवणी (पें) सोबत संलग्णी.

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भव0, 2000 पुँग एँगी (पूँग एँगी) (प्रेंग के 400 614. अयोंक: ५ जाएँगी) (प्रें 2009. ÄÜB/-(ÜÄ कुमार) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

श्रर्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां🖁 अर्थो 18.2.2009 🖟 🗒 अर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भव0, 2000 (प्रेंग्) (प्रेंगी (प्रेंगी) अयोंक ३० मार्च, २००९. (िक्की कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

**Appeal No.KR-2624/2008** 

Shri Nilkhanth Gokul Patil, ..... Appellant

At: C/209, New Jyoti Apartment, S.T. Depot Road, Nala Sopara (W), Taluka: Vasai.Dist: Thane: 401 203.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Office of the Deputy Director (Education), Brihan Mumbai Division, Bal Bhawan, Netaji Subhash Rd., Charni Road, Mumbai: 400 004.

### The Appellate Authority,

Office of the Deputy Director (Education), Brihan Mumbai Division, Bal Bhawan, Netaji Subhash Rd., Charni Road, Mumbai: 400 004.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 29.8.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1862/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Deepak Vamanrao Sawant, A/13, Rajbhavan Grihanirman Sanstha Ltd. N. S. Phadke Marg, Andheri (East), Mumbai-400 069.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gramsevak, Gram Panchayat Pilvali C/o. Savrda, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority, Extention Officer, (Grampanchayat) Panchayat Samiti, Chiplun, Tal. Chiplun, Dist.-Ratnagiri

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1641/2008

Shri Prakash Goma Revaskar, ..... Appellant.

At & Post; Near Chirner Maha Ganapati Mandir,

Taluka: Uran, District: Raigad.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Raigad – Alibag.

The Appellate Authority,

Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Raigad – Alibag.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 26.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 875/2008

Shri Imtiyaz Ismail Shaik,	Appellan
----------------------------	----------

4, Shanti Sadan, Near Raj Cinema, Tembhode Rd., Palghar, Tal: Palghar,

Dist: Thane.

V/s.

### **Public Information Officer,**

..... Respondent

Chitnis,

Collector's Office, Thane,

District: Thane.

### The Appellate Authority,

Resident Deputy Collector, Office of the Collector, Thane,

District: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has given information to the appellant on 9.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिगियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्हितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर- 1754/2008

ÁÖB ÃÖÆ#**ð**Ð ¸Ö´Ö′ÖÇÜ—ÖÐ Ģ

... अपिलकर्ता

... ÃÖÖ ÖĞĞÖŞÖŞÖ

**, 0**, 300, 110, 306, 6264, 2050, 400, 11 05, 11

छत्रे तालीम गल्ली, मिरज : ४१६ ४१०,

जिल्हा : सांगली.

\_ ¾Ĵݱ¤Ü

•िी माहिती अधिकारी, कोषागार अव्वल कारकूंप्रै तहसिल कार्यालय, चिपळूण,

तालुका : चिपळूण, जिल्हा : रतागिरी.

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, श्रीमायब तहसिलदार, तहसिल कार्यालय, चिपळूण, तालुका: चिपळूण, जिल्हा: रतागि क्रि

**†ŸŐ¸ĭÖ†Ö¤įÖ** 

प्रथम अपिलिय अधिकारी यांगि या प्रकरणात गिर्णय दिल्याचे दिसूा येते. तथापि, सदर गिर्णयाो अपिलकर्त्याचे समाधा**० -०००**। सल्यामुळे त्यांगि कलम १९ (३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल दाखल केले आहे (प्रत सोबत संलग्**०**).

अपिलकर्ता यांगि वस्तुस्थितीदर्शक माहितीची मागणी केलेली आहे.

यास्तव, मी हे अपिल प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांचेकडे रिमांड करीत आहे. त्यांगि अपिलकर्ता याँ वैक्षिवणीची एक संधी मिं अपिलकर्ता यांगि उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्धांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व शक्यतो परिपूर्ण माहिती देण्याच्यादृष्टीो कार्यवाही करावी व या प्रकरणी समयायीक आदेश पारित करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधाा होईल. प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांगि या संदर्भात यापुर्वी आदेश गिंगिमत केले असले तरी उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही कर्र्ण व्या आदेश पारीत करावेत, म्हणजे त्यांचा यापुर्वीचा णिय आपोआप सुधारीत होईल. उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां अयोगास सादर करावा.

ŸŒÜĀ, •ָܯĒÖÖ†×प्रलीय अधिकारी यांची अशी ठाम धारणा असेल की, व्दितीय सुाावणी देऊा कांही साध्य होणार Ü∰ ŸÖ¸Ü त्यांगी जोडलेल्या अपिल अर्जात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर मुद्दोहिाय सविस्तर अभिप्राय १५ दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भव0,

²0\$00°0; 0%38°0; 0%0; 400°614.

**श्र्यां**क: १० डिसेंबर, २००८.

Ä∰/-(ÖÖ कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

श्रर्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां 🖁 🗷 🗓 11.2.2009 📜 🗒 प्रर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवÖ, ²ÜòÖÖÜqi Ö¼B ´ÜÖ܆Ô ×णोंक ३० मार्च, २००९. (🕷 कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2143/2008

Shri Mohmad Jamil Hakim, ..... Appellant

Lucky Apartment, Room No. 206,

Achola Road, Nala Sopara, Taluka: Vasai, Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Chief Officer,

Nalasopara Municipal Council,

District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Director, Municipal Administration Department, Government Transport Services Bldg., 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Sir Pochkhanwala Marg., Worli, Mumbai: 400 030.

**Facts:** 

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 27.11.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

## MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2671/2008

Shri Mohammad Husain Ibrahim Patel, ..... Appellant At. Post-Kudus, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat Kudus, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Wada, Tal. Wada, Dist-Thane

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 31.12.2008.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1153/2008

Shri Sandeep Lahu Kadam, ..... Appellant.

At & Post: Kandalgaon,

Taluka: Malvan, Dist: Sindhudurg.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

Head Master,

Ozar Vidhyamandir, Kandalgaon, Taluka : Malvan, Dist : Sindhudurg.

### The Appellate Authority,

Education Officer, (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg, At : Oras, Taluka : Kudal, Dist : Sindhudurg.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 07<sup>th</sup> November, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1596/2008

Shri Vijay Govind Desai, ..... Appellant.

Bazar Peth, Roha, Taluka: Roha,

District: Raigad.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

..... Respondent

Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mangalvedha- Pandharpur,

District : Solapur.

### The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mangalvedha- Pandharpur, District: Solapur.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s  $\overline{19}$  (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has given information to the appellant on 21.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-434/2008

Shri Hemant Gopinath Chavan, At & Post-Uran Bazarpeth, Taluka- Uran, District- Raigad. .... Appellant

Respondent

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Chairman, Mora Koliwada Machhimar Society Ltd., Mora Koliwada, Taluka-Uran, District-Raigad.

The Appellate Authority, Assistant Registrar, Office of the Assistant Director of Fisheries, Taluka-Alibag, District-Raigad.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-784/2008

Shri Ratnakar Laxman Awati, ..... Appellant Phadake Building, Ahilyabai Chowk, Kalyan, Dist-Thane.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Office of the Deputy Director, Health Services, Mumbai Zone, Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Deputy Director, Health Services, Mumbai Zone, Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अवये व्दितीय अपिल. अपिल क्रमांक : केआर-1987/2008

श्री .अजय सदाांद बागल, 80/2376, ¯**00**0⊓गर, घाटकोपर (पू), मुंब‡ प्रै 400 075. ×₩ÿ⊬¤ij

•🛈 माहिती अधिकारी,

^⁻Ö॑श्रबंधक सहकारी संस्था (२), <sup>-</sup>(m)0^-0ागरे, मुंबई यांचे कार्यालय, दुसरा मजला, कोकण भव0,

ÖMÜB (ÖMÖLŐ

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, <sup>-</sup>(m)0^-0ागरे, मुंबई यांचे कार्यालय, कोकण भवं ÖBAĞB TÖBÖLÜ

### **†100**, ŭ0 † 0≥**1**0

माहितीचा अधिकार अधिायम २००५ चे कलम १९(१) अवये अपिलकर्ता यां। दाखल केलेल्या प्रथम अपिलावर, 

यास्तव, सदर प्रकरणी मी असे दिंश देतो की, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां। अपिलकर्ता यां 🗓 🛍 वणीची एक संधी म्राची अपिलकर्ता यांगे व्दितीय अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व अपिलकर्ता यां0 †×0000 ^-04000 केलेल्या मुद्यांवर परिपूर्ण माहिती, अधिायमातील तरतुदी 🗓 उपलब्ध करुा देण्याच्यादुष्टीी प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां। या प्रकरणी सकारण आदेश पारीत करावेत, जेणे करा अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधा होईल (प्रत सोबत संलग्णी.

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां। आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भव0

**श्र्मां**क: २६ डिसेंबर, २००८.

ÃÖÆB/-( 🕅 कुमार )

... ÃÖÖ ÖĞ**XÖ**Ö»ÖE

राज्य माहिती आयुक्त,

कोंकण खंडपीठ

### श्रर्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यां 🖁 🗷 🗓 13.2.2009 🗓 🗒 प्रर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवं **श्र्णां**क ३० मार्च, २००९.

**(ÖØ)** कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-726/2008

Shri Prakash Namdeo Khatu, House No.77, Prakash Opticians, Navadi, Sangameshwar, Tal. Sangmeshwar, Dist.-Ratnagiri-415 611.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Gramsevak, Grampanchayat Navdi-Sangmeshwar, Devrukh, Dist.-Ratnagiri-415 804.

The Appellate Authority, Extention Officer, (Panchayat) Panchayat Samiti, Devrukh, Dist.-Ratnagiri-415 804.

**Facts:** 

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has given information to the appellant on 1.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1578/2008

Shri Fakih Sohel Mushtak, ..... Appellant.

15, Bunder Mohalla, Bhiwandi,

Taluka: Bhiwandi, District: Thane.: 421 302.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

Panchayat Samiti, Bhiwandi,

.... Respondent. Deputy Engineer, (Works),

Taluka: Bhiwandi, District: Thane.: 421 302.

The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Engineer, (Works), Panchayat Samiti, Bhiwandi,

Taluka: Bhiwandi, District: Thane.: 421 302.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date: 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No.KR- 2265/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, ... Appellant.

Vasai Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Rd.,

Post & Taluka : Vasai, Dist : Thane : 401 201.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Gram Sevak, Valiv, Gram Panchayat, Valiv, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Raigad.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Taluka: Vasai, District; Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-836/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil, Vasai Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Road, Post & Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane-401 201.

..... Appellant

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Rural Development Officer, Sandor Gram Panchayat, Post & Taluka : Vasai,

District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai,

Taluka: Vasai, District: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-660/2008

Shri Putlaji Dhondu Kadam, ..... Appellant

At & Post : Waliv, Aadsingpada, Vasai (E), Tal : Vasai, Dist : Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer,** 

Block Devleopment Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Devleopment Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, Dist: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1772/2008

Shri Bhalchandra L. Mulye, ..... Appellant.

Navedarvathar, At: Nivali, Bavnadi, Taluka & District: Ratnagiri: 415 612.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer.** 

Sectional Officer, Zilla Parishad Works Sub Division, Ratnagiri,

District: Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer,

Panchayat Samiti, Ratnagiri, Dist: Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s  $\overline{19}$  (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 06<sup>th</sup> November, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No.KR-2529/2008

Shri Veerpal Ramchandra Kashyap, Dixit Niwas, Mor Bundglow, Virar (West), Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Assistant Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikar, Virar Division, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Executive Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikar, Virar Division, Tal. Vasai, Dist-Thane

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and the First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-587/2008

Miss. Bharti Shyamlal Varlyani, ....

New Manohar Mahal Co.op. Hsg., Society, Flat No. 9/A, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Kopri Colony, . Thane (E), District: Thane. 400 603.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Assistant Commissioner of Police, Thane City, Office of the Police Commissioner, Thane,

The Appellate Authority,

Office of the Police Commissioner, Thane,

Dist: Thane.

Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appellant

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Interim Decision**

First Appellate Authority has not yet given a decision. He agrees to give a decision within 15 days.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 12<sup>th</sup> February, 2009.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 20.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-745/2008

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Shri Magan Kalu Aher, 101/A, Ankur, Bhujbal Wadi, Rambaug Lane-2, Kalyan (West), Dist-Thane-421 301.

V/s

Public Information Officer, Upakaryawah, General Education Institute, Dadar, Mumbai-400 028.

The Appellate Authority, Karyawah, General Education Institute, Dadar, Mumbai-400 028

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 5.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2570/2008

Smt. Prita Prafulla Gharat, ... Appellant.

At: Salvad (Gharat Wadi),

Taluka: Palghar, District: Thane: 401 504.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Office of the Executive Engineer,

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co.,Ltd., Boisar, At : Saravali, Taluka : Palghar, District : Thane : 401 501.

The Appellate Authority, Executive Engineer,

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co.,Ltd., Boisar, At: Saravali, Taluka: Palghar, District: Thane: 401 501.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and The First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got all the information.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 18.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1781/2008

Shri Suyog Kiran Shirgaonkar, A-8, Navswapna Srusti Apartment, Near Salasar Nagar, Patilpada, Kulgaon, Badlapur (E), Dist - Thane: 421 503. ..... Appellant.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**, Office of the Education Officer ( Primary), Zilla Parishad, Thane.

..... Respondent

### The Appellate Authority,

Education Officer ( Primary), Zilla Parishad, Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s  $\overline{19}$   $\overline{(1)}$  of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> Novermber, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 26.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1791/2008

Shri Sanjay Bhabutmal Shaha, ..... Appellant.

Near Gram Panchayat office, Wada, Taluka; Wada, District: Thane.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Deputy Conservator of Forest, Jawhar Sub Division, Jawhar, Taluka: Jawhar, Dist: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Chief Conservator of Forest, Thane,

District; Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

The information requested is of a factual nature.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act 2005 and The First Appellate Authority gave a decision. The appellant says that he has not got all the information.

The implementation of First Appellate Authority's order has probably not been done so far.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving one more hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing another reasoned order to the supercession of the earlier order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has given the necessary information to the appellant on 25.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1816/2008

Dr. Shaikh Ahmad, ..... Appellant.

Javed Clinic, 11] Noorani Apartment,

Near Mumbra Railway Station, Dist: Thane.:400 612.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Medical Education & Drugs, Cosmetics Department,

Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

The Appellate Authority,

Medical Education & Drugs Cosmetics Department,

Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s  $\overline{19}$  (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 19<sup>th</sup> November, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 326/2008

Shri Kishor Santumal Mapara, BRK No.C-8, Room No.48, Behind Prabhat Talkies, Ulhasnahar, District-Thane-421 005

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Encroachment/Town Planning Dept., Ulhasnagar Municipal Corporation, Ulhasnagar, Dist-Thane.

The Appellate Authority, Additional Commissioner, Ulhasnagar Municipal Corporation, Ulhasnagar, Dist-Thane.

### Facts:

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 17.7.2008.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 319/2008

Shri Ganesh Babusingh Rathod, ..... Appellant

At & Post: Varapgaon,

Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane.: 421 301.

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

Tahsil Office, Kalyan,

Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Resident Naib Tahsildar, Kalyan,

Tahsil Office, Kalyan,

Taluka: Kalyan, District: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 27.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-262/2008

Shri Mohanraj Bhikusheth Gandhi,	 Appellant
860, Shivajinagar,	
Tal & Dist Ratnagiri	

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Administrative Officer, Regional Mental Hospital, Ratnagiri, Dist. Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority, Medical Supertintendent, Regional Mental Hospital, Ratnagiri, Dist. Ratnagiri.

#### Facts:

Not having been successful in obtaining information from Public Information Officer or First Appellate Authority, the appellant by an appeal dated 15.3.2007 has asked information regarding documents related to Bill No. 4 & 5 and Bill payment voucher No. 000004 & 000005 dated 30.6.1994 of Contractor Shri Sardeshpande.

### **Interim Decision**.

The appeal application has been perused. There is an indication that the First Appellate Authority considered this case and gave a decision on 5.3.2007.

Much time has passed since then and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai.

Date:- 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2008.

Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-820/2008

Shri Pandurang Sadashiv Bapat, ..... Appellant

421, Bapat Ali, Shivaji Chowk, Karad Rd.,

Chiplun, Taluka : Chiplun, Dist. : Ratnagiri : 415 605.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**, ..... Respondent

Extension Officer, (Education), Panchayat Samiti, Chiplun, Tal: Chiplun, Dist: Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Chiplun, Tal: Chiplun, Dist: Ratnagiri

#### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 24.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-2383/2008

Shri Avinash Anant Shelar, At. Post-Sangave (Devrukh), Tal. Sangmeshwar, Dist.-Ratnagiri

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Grampanchayat, Sangve, (Devrukh), Tal. Sangmeshwar, Dist.-Ratnagiri

The Appellate Authority, Panchayat Samiti Sangmeshwar, Tal. Sangmeshwar, Dist.-Ratnagiri

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Appellant

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2008.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

### BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

## MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. **Appeal No.KR- 2420/2008**

Shri Hemant Gopinath Chavan,

..... Appellant.

At & Post: Uran Bazar Peth, Taluka: Uran, Dist: Raigad.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

Assistant Commissioner of Police (Admn.), Office of the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, C.B.D., Belapur,

Navi Mumbai: 400 614.

### The Appellate Authority,

Deputy Commissioner of Police (Admn.), Office of the Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai: 400 614.

#### Facts:-

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan. Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date: - 24<sup>th</sup> November, 2008.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant is absent. Public Information Officer Shri M. S. Jadhav, Assistant Police Commissioner is present.

First Appellate Authority agrees to give a reasoned decision within 8 days. Compliance report be submitted to the Commission by 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2009.

> Sd/-xxx(Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date: - 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2009.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 9.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-814/2008

Shri Prakash Namdev Khatu, ..... Appellant

House No. 77, Prakash Optitions, Navadi, (Sangmeshwar), Tal: Sangmeshwar, District: Ratnagiri.: 415 611.

V/s.

Public Information Officer.

Gram Sevak,

Gram Panchayat, Navadi (Sangmeshwar), Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist:Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Extension Officer,

Panchayat Samiti, Sangmeshwar, (Devrukh) Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist:Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Respondent.

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 12.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 2276/2008

Shri Vasant Baliram Mane, ..... Appellant. 409, Sai Villa, Chhatrapati Shivaji Marg, Modi Patel Cross Road, Opp. Rly. Station, Bhyandar (W), District: Thane:

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Ward Officer, Ward No. 3, Mira-Bhayandar Municipal Corporation, Bhayandar, Dist: Thane.

### The Appellate Authority,

Dy. Municipal Commissioner, Mira-Bhayandar Municipal Corporation, Bhayandar, Dist: Thane.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 4.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-1994/2008

Shri Harischandra Joma Mhatre, ..... Appellant

A-5, Lane No. 8, Sector-9, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai : 400 614.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, Ward Officer, A-Ward Belapur, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation, Sector-11, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai: 400 614.

The Appellate Authority, Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Sector-11, C.B.D., Belapur, Navi Mumbai: 400 614.

**Facts:** A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant is present. Shri P.S.Waghmare, Ward Officer and Public Information Officer, First Appellate Authority Shri N.T. Jadhav, Deputy Municipal Commissioner (Encroachments) are present.

The hearing is adjourned to next date after a month or so. In the meantime the First Appellate Authority has agreed to consider the representation of the appellant and others dated 15.02.2008 and 9.04.2008 in a meeting with concerned authorities. On the next date First Appellate Authority should inform the Commission about the action taken or to be taken on the issues involved.

Sd/-

XXX

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

..... Respondent

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2009.

### **Conclusion**

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 26.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

## MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 1408/2008

..... Appellant.

..... Respondent

Shri Shantaram Sitaram Mhaske, Jai Bajarangbali Society 83/3, Jai Malhar Nagar, Khandoba Tekdi, Golibar Rd., Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai: 400 086.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

Gram Development Officer, Gram Panchayat Shringrarpur,

Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist: Ratnagiri.

The Appellate Authority,

Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Devrukh]

Taluka; Sangmeshwar, Dist: Ratnagiri.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

It would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision By First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 07<sup>th</sup> August, 2008.

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 31.1.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-856/2008

Shri Nipun Mathkar, ..... Appellant

B-5, Jivdani Krupa Chawl,

Ramchandra Jadhav Wadi, Vijay Nagar,

Nala Sopara (E), Thane: 401 208.

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent

Under Secretary,

Employment & Self Employment Department,

Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

The Appellate Authority,

Secretary,

Employment & Self Employment Department,

Mantralaya, Mumbai: 400 032.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 2.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

## BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

### MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

**Appeal No.KR-711/2008** 

Shri Mangesh Bhalchandra Patil,

..... Appellant

Guru Prabhay, Near Naniwadekar Hospital,

Mahim Road, Palghar, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

..... Respondent

Khajina Aval Karkun,

Tahsildar Office, Palghar, Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Niwasi Naib Tahsildar, Tahsildar Office, Palthar, Dist-Thane

**Facts:-** A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### **Interim Decision**

First Appellate Authority is present. He has not yet given a decision. He agrees to give a hearing before 18.2.2009.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 12<sup>th</sup> February, 2009.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR-466/2008

Shri Ganesh Babusingh Rathod,		Appellant
-------------------------------	--	-----------

Rathod Chawl, At.& Post. Varapgaon,

Tal Kalyan, Dist-Thane

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Khajina Awal Karkun,

Tahsildar Office, Kalyan,

Tal. Dist-Thane

The Appellate Authority, Niwasi Naib Tahsildar, Tahsildar Office, Kalyan, Tal. Dist-Thane

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 27.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

## Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 315/2008

Shri Bhimrao Parvata Patil,		Appellant
4/10, Shivshakti Chawl, Talaopada,		
New Shivaji Nagar, Kalwa (East)		
Dist-Thane		

V/s.

Public Information Officer, ..... Respondent Gargoti Divisional Forest Office, Gargoti-416 201 Dist. Kolhapur.

The Appellate Authority, Forest Officer, Gargoti Disisional Office, Gargoti-416 201 Dist. Kolhapur.

### **Facts:**

A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Much time has passed since the original application was made and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order. This may be done even if the First Appellate Authority may have given an earlier decision which would automatically stand modified by the First Appellate Authority's new decision.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2008.

#### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 13.3.2009.

(Navin Kumar)
State Information Commissioner
Konkan Region

### MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005.

Appeal No.KR- 1627/2008

Shri Dilip Mukund Patil,

Vasai Bhandari Ali, Koliwada Rd.,

Post & Taluka: Vasai, Dist: Thane.: 401 201.

V/s.

**Public Information Officer**,

..... Respondent

..... Appellant.

Treasury Awal Karkun,

Tahsil Office, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, District: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Resident Naib Tahsildar,

Tahsil Office, Vasai, Tal: Vasai, District: Thane.

**<u>Facts:</u>**-A copy of the above appeal is annexed.

### **Interim Decision**

Appellant filed the first appeal u/s 19 (1) of the Right To Information Act but primafacie there is no decision by First Appellate Authority.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction by issuing a reasoned order.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days by the First Appellate Authority to me.

Sd/- xxx (Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan, Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2008.

## †Űंऐ¸ၿŰं×न्र्णय

अपिलार्थी उपस्थित. जन माहिती अधिकारी श्री प्रकाश भा. पाटील, खिजना अळ्वल कारकून, आणि प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी श्री. ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ ॑ चित्रासी नयब तहिसलदार, वसई उपस्थित आहेत.

प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांनी दिनांक ६/१/२००९ रोजी निर्णय दिला आहे. निर्णयानंतर देखील माहिती उपलब्ध नाली नाही असे अपिलकर्त्यांचे म्हणणे आहे. प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी यांनी त्यांचे आदेशांच्या अंमलबजावणीबाबतचा अहवाल आयोगास १० दिवसांत आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भवन.

2000000 न्वी मुंबई : ४०० ६१४.

**श्र्मां**क : ४ मार्च, २००९.

ÄÜH/-( नीं स्ट्रींन कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

#### निर्णय

- 📆 अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी दि.६.१.२००९ रोजी निर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवनी, बेलापूर, नी/की (1801) अयोगंक ३० मार्च, २००९. (नींश्वीत कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयींमीं, कोंकण खंडपीठ

## राज्य माहिती आयोग, महाराष्ट्र, कोंकण खंडपीठ यांचे समोर माहितीचा अधिकार अधिनियम २००५ चे कलम १९(३) अन्वये व्हितीय अपिल.

अपिल क्रमांक : केआर- 1178/2008

श्री.विष्णू गंगाराम भडांगे, आठवणे, कोलेकर पाडा,उंबरोठा रिं॥ औं प्रैंपीऔं प्रैंपी औं प्रेंपी के प्रेंपी स्थापित स्थापि

... अपिलकर्ता

¾Örý⊬¤ü

जन माहिती अधिकारी,

... सामन**(20**)(Ê

अधीक्षक.

शिक्षणाधिकारी (माध्यमिक),

जिल्हा परिषद ठाणे यांचे कार्यालय, ठाणे.

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी, शिक्षणाधिकारी, जिल्हा परिषद, ठाणे.

## **†Ű**Ö<u>,</u>űÖ†Ö**₽**ÖÖ

माहितीचा अधिकार अधिनियम २००५ चे कलम १९(१) अन्वये अपिलकर्ता यांनी दाखल केलेल्या प्रथम अपिलावर, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी निर्णय दिल्याचे सकृतदर्शनी आढळून येत नाही.

यास्तव, सदर प्रकरणी मी असे निर्देश देतो की, प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी अपिलकर्ता यांना सुनावणीची एक संधी देऊन अपिलकर्ता यांनी व्वितीय अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांचा विचार करण्यांत यावा व जर मागणी केलेली माहिती एका पेक्षा अधिक जन माहिती अधिका-यांशी संबंधित असेल तर त्या सर्वांना सुनावणीस बोलवावे आणि त्यानंतर अपिलकर्ता यांनी अपिलात उपस्थित केलेल्या मुद्यांवर परिपुर्ण माहिती, अधिनयमातील तरतुदीनुसार उपलब्ध करुन देण्याच्यादृष्टीने प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी या प्रकरणी सकारण आदेश पारीत करावेत, जेणे करुन अपिलकर्ता यांचे समाधान होईल (प्रत सोबत संलग्न).

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे केलेल्या कार्यवाहीचा कार्यपूर्ती अहवाल ४५ दिवसांत प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी आयोगास सादर करावा.

कोंकण भवन,

बेलापूर, नवी मुंबई : ४०० ६१४. दिनांक : ५ जानेवारी, २००९. ÃÖÆØ/-

( नवीन कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

निर्णय

प्रथम अपिलीय प्राधिकारी यांनी दि.६.१.2009 🖟 🗓 अनर्णय दिला आहे.

कोंकण भवनी, बेलापूर, नी/भी (१००१) अप्रमांक ३० मार्च, २००९.

(नैंग्रीन कुमार ) राज्य माहिती आयुक्त, कोंकण खंडपीठ

## BEFORE THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

## MAHARASHTRA – KONKAN REGION

### Appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act 2005. Appeal No.KR- 168 /2008

Shri Bhagwan Davane, ..... Appellant

Secretary, Gramin Shramik Sangh,

Opp. Bhadkamkar Hospital, Neelkantha Apartment,

Mahagiri Koli, Thane (W) 400 601.

V/s

Public Information Officer,

Respondent

Deputy Engineer, Zilla Parishad (Water Supply),

Sub Division, Shahapur, Dist.: Thane.

The Appellate Authority,

Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad (Water Supply),

Dist.: Thane:.

The appellant by an application dated 6.6.2007 asked information regarding details of work under Rural Employment Scheme for the year 2005-06.

#### **Interim Decision.**

The appeal application has been perused. There is an indication that the First Appellate Authority considered this case and gave a decision on 03.10.2006.

Much time has passed since then and therefore, it would be necessary to know the present status of this case.

I, therefore, remand this case to the First Appellate Authority for inviting and giving a hearing to the appellant for examining the points raised by him in his appeal with a view to provide maximum information if due under the act and give appellant better satisfaction.

A compliance report should be sent within 45 days.

The Public Information Officer should bring this decision to the notice of his First Appellate Authority to avoid delay.

Sd/---

### (Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner**

Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan,

Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date: - 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2008

#### **Interim Decision**

The First Appellate Authority and Public Information Officer are for some reason consistently avoiding to appear before me.

Appellant is present. Though more than one year has passed information has not been given.

Shri S.L. Patil, Junior Engineer is present. He undertakes to give all information except Muster and Coupon copies to the appellant on 16.2.2009 in his office and send a compliance to this Commission.

First Appellate Authority should explain why he has not given a hearing to the appellant so far as per my interim decision.

A copy of this decision should be sent to the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Thane.

Sd/---

(Navin Kumar) State Information Commissioner Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan,

Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:- 11th February, 2009...

### Conclusion

The First Appellate Authority has issued a decision on 16.2.2009.

(Navin Kumar) **State Information Commissioner** Konkan Region

Konkan Bhavan. Belapur, Navi Mumbai. Date:-31st March, 2009.